Building an Ecosystem for the CBRS Brand

18 May 2017





Slide 1

President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST)

NTIA "Fast Track

Report"

October, 2010



"Unleashing the Wireless Broadband Revolution"

June, 2010

"America's future competitiveness and global technology leadership depend, in part, upon the availability of additional spectrum."

Directed NTIA to collaborate with the FCC to make available 500 megahertz of spectrum available for commercial wireless services while ensuring no loss of critical government capabilities





"In order to ensure that this global [technology] leadership continues and that the Administration's goal of universal broadband is met, additional spectrum is needed for fixed and mobile wireless broadband systems."

NTIA identified 3550-3650 MHz as one of several federal bands that could be made available for commercial wireless broadband by 2015.



PCAST Report July, 2012

"In the coming years, access to spectrum will be an increasingly important foundation for America's economic growth and technological leadership."

Concluded that the best way to increase the availability of broadband spectrum is to promote spectrum sharing between federal and commercial users through the use of new technologies...



PCAST Spectrum Report

20 July 2012 Report from the US Presidents Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) on "Realizing the Potential of Government-Held Spectrum to Spur Economic Growth."

- "The traditional practice of clearing and reallocating portions of the spectrum used by Federal agencies is not a sustainable model for spectrum policy"
- "The essential element of this new Federal spectrum architecture is that the norm for spectrum use should be sharing, not exclusivity"







5 GHz

Where this falls in the levels of "Spectrum Sharing"





Adopting the PCAST Model: Time Line for CBRS Regulations

2013	2014	2015	2016
Notice of Public Rule	Further Notice of	Report and Order and	Order on
Making	Proposed Rule Making	Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule	Reconsideration and Second report and
3.5 GHz Workshop		Making	Order
Public Notice on 3.5		Public Notice on	Methodology Adopted
GHz Licensing		Protection of	on Protection of
Framework		Grandfathered 3650 to	Grandfathered 3650 to
		3700 MHz Licensees	3700 MHz Band
			Licensees
		First Wave Applications	
		for SAS Administrators	Conditional Approval of
		and ESC Operators	SAS Administrators



Overview of CBRS History of the Wireless Innovation Forum in Defining the CBRS Standards Standards Overview and Schedule Building the Ecosystem Based on These Standards



What is the "Citizens Broadband Radio Service (CBRS)"





Citizen's Broadband Radio Service (CBRS)



Spectrum Access System (SAS) Functional Architecture



Acronyms:
ESC: Environmental Sensing Capability
CBSD: Citizens Broadband Radio Service Device
SAS: Spectrum Access System
Notes:

A SAS may not need to support all interfaces.
Each CBSD domain may optionally include some sensing capability (including possibly an ESC).

> Source: Wireless Innovation Forum, "SAS Functional Architecture", http://groups.winnforum.org/d/d o/8512



CBRS Use Cases

Use Cases	Embedded devices: FiOS, OnHub, Echo etc.	Neutral In-building wireless	Enterprise owned deployments	Smart Cities & Outdoor
Key Driver	Control of QoS; Assured delivery of content	Revenue expansion opportunity – Neutral Host	Piggyback on WiFi; Potential services via MVNO(?)	Infrastructure Buildout – Smart Cities initiatives, IoT etc.
Verticals	Homes, SOHO, SMBs	Public venues: Hotels, Hospitals, MDUs etc.	Enterprises	Outdoor: Street poles, Booths (LinkNYC), Manhole covers, Billboards etc.
ROM Market Size	Millions of units	Urban Buildings: 10s of thousands of buildings	100,000's of units	Millions of units



WIRELESS INNOVATION

FORUM

Environmental Sensing Capability (ESC)

The ESC must:

- Be managed and maintained by a non-governmental entity;
- Accurately detect federal frequency use in the 3550-3700 MHz band and adjacent frequencies;
- Communicate information about detected frequency use to an approved SAS;
- Maintain security of detected and communicated signal information;
- Comply with all Commission rules and guidelines governing the construction, operation, and approval of ESCs;
- Be available at all times to immediately respond to requests from authorized Commission personnel for any information collected or communicated by the ESC;
- Ensure that the ESC operates without any connectivity to any military or other sensitive federal database or system;
- Ensure that the ESC does not store, retain, transmit, or disclose operational information on the movement or position of any federal system or any information that reveals other operational information of any federal system that is not required to effectively operate the ESC by Part 96.





CBRS Spectrum Availability







CBRS Incumbent Assessment (3550-3700 MHz)

*Includes Federal Gov't, FSS, & Grandfathered Wireless

Amount of Spectrum Available





Copyright © 2017 Software Defined Radio Forum, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Devices

Device Type	Maximum EIRP (dBm/10 MHz)	Maximum PSD (dBm/MHz)	Limitations
End User Device (EUD)	23	n/a	 Can operate only if they can positively receive and decode an authorization signal transmitted by a CBSD Subject to Cat A/B classification if it operates at power higher than specified for EUD
Category A CBSD*	30	20	 Outdoor antenna height limited to 6m HAAT If operation exceeds antenna height or max Cat A powers, it's subject to Cat B limitations
Category B CBSD	47	37	 Can only be authorized for use after an ESC is approved and commercially deployed Limited to outdoor operation Must be professionally installed



* CBSD – Citizen's Broadband Radio Service Device

Slide 13

Addressing the Needs for a Multi-stakeholder Group



Multi-stakeholder Group Callouts in FCC R&O

Paragraph	Call Out
59	We acknowledge that SAS Administrators, potential licensees, and other industry stakeholders will need to develop various implementation details to facilitate development of
	the Citizens Broadband Radio Service. As described elsewhere in this Report and Order, we believe that many of these issues can be addressed during the SAS Approval
	Process and through the efforts of a multistakeholder group.
195	We recognize that ensuring compliance with this limit at the boundary is likely challenging on a real-time basis and there are legitimate questions relative to how to develop
	appropriate predictive models. We also recognize that the use of an aggregate metric could be challenging in a multi-user environment. We encourage any multi-stakeholder
	group formed to address technical issues raised by this proceeding to consider how this limit should be applied.
214	For example, it might be possible that instead of the bright-line urban/rural distinction implemented in these initial rules, industry stakeholders (perhaps working through a
	multi-stakeholder forum) could agree on a "congestion metric" and associated methodology for SASs to reduce CBSD power levels in high-demand areas. We intend to
	continue an informal dialog with stakeholders on this topic and welcome the submission of additional technical analysis or reports of technological developments that can
000	inform us going forward.
222	Given the importance of accurate reporting by professional installers, we strongly encourage the SAS and user community, through multi-stakeholder fora or industry
004	associations, to develop programs for accrediting professional installers who receive training in the relevant Part 96 rules and associated technical best practices.
234	we encourage multi-stakenoider groups to consider the issues raised by the registration rules described in this section, including acceptable contact intervals between CBSDs and SASs, and to suggest appropriate operational perometers.
227	We appropriate the develop detailed metrics regarding issues like received signal strength, packet error rate, and technology specific parameters of signal and
231	interference metrics. These metrics could be developed by an industry multistakeholder group. Such guidance could be incorporated in the SAS Approval process described in
	section IIIH)(3)(b) or incorporated independently by authorized SAS Administrators, subject to Commission review
240	We encourage the industry to develop best practices for end-to-end security that can be validated in the equipment and SAS certification processes
289	We agree with Federated Wireless, Google, Motorola Solutions, SIA, the Wireless Innovation Forum, and others, that a multi-stakeholder process could provide insight into the
	technical factors and interference limits between coexisting services in the 3.5 GHz Band.
319	We continue to believe that a "light touch" regulatory approach is appropriate for this band and that the rules should include only the high-level requirements necessary to
	ensure the effective development and operation of fully functional SASs. We agree with commenters that support collaborative, industry-wide efforts to create standards and
	best practices governing SAS operations. The Commission will assist these efforts through the SAS Administrator approval process, as set forth in III(H)(3)(b). We also believe
	that an active multi-stakeholder group could help develop industry consensus around the best methods of meeting the SAS requirements.
346	We require potential SAS Administrators to develop and demonstrate that their systems include robust communications and information security features during the SAS
	Approval process.745 CBSDs shall demonstrate compliant security features during the equipment authorization process. These security protocols will be subject to the
	Commission's review and approval, with input from NTIA and DoD. We anticipate that given the immense value of industry-wide interoperability, groups – such as the types of
	multi-stakeholder groups discussed in section III(K) – will develop security models that SAS Administrators may consider, subject to Commission review.



WIRELESS INNOVATION

FORUM

Addressing the Need for a "Multi-stakeholder Group"

The Wireless Innovation Forum is a nonprofit "mutual benefit corporation" dedicated to:

"advancing technologies supporting the innovative utilization of spectrum and the development of wireless communications systems, including essential or critical communications systems"







The WInnForum "Lean Standards Development Model™"



Backlog items are assigned to a "sprint" Continual Feedback from deployment against Interim Releases establishes new backlog items Major release comprised of one or more interim releases Results: Standards Based Products deployed in months, not years





WIRELESS INNOVATION

FORUM

History in forming this committee...

September 2012: Forum announces support for the PCAST Recommendations March 2013: Hosted workshop on the DARPA Shared Spectrum Access for Radar and Communications (SSPARC) program

- February 2013: Filed comments with the FCC regarding the NPRM on Enabling Innovative Small Cell use in the 3.5 GHz Band
- December 2013: Filed comments with the FCC regarding Licensing Models and Technical Requirements in the 3550-3650 Band
- July 2014: Filed comments with the FCC regarding the FNPRM on Commercial Operation in the 3550 to 3650 Band
- October 2014: First formation meeting of the propose Spectrum Sharing Multistakeholder Committee
- November 2014: Interim Steering Group for the committee formed
- December 2014: Second formation meeting of the propose Spectrum Sharing Multistakeholder Committee



Forum Structure

Organizational Structure for The Wireless Innovation Forum

10 November 2015





Spectrum Sharing Committee Structure



WINNForum Standards"



CBRS Standards Development Within the Forum: 250+ Participants, 60+ Organizations



Conditionally Approved SAS Providers



amdocs

















Slide 22

Protocol Development







WIRELESS INNOVATION

FORUM®

PKI Root of Trust Accreditation





WIRELESS INNOVATION

FORUM®

WINN Forum Certification Flow (Approved)





WIRELESS INNOVATION

FORUM

CPI Training Program Accreditation Process





Release 1 Publication Timeline (Revised)



FORUM

Aug 31 '17



Complete List of Standards That Comprise Release 1

WINNF-15-S-0112-V3.0.0 Operational and Functional Requirements WINNF-15-S-0065-V2.0.0 CBRS Communications Security Technical Specification WINNF-15-S-0071-V1.0.0 CBRS Operational Security Technical Specification WINNF-16-S-0016-V2.0.0 SAS to CBSD Protocol Specification WINNF-16-S-0096-V2.0.0 SAS to SAS Protocol Specification WINNF-16-S-0061-V1.0.0 SAS Test and Certification Specification WINNF-17-S-0122-V1.0.0 CBSD Test and Certification Specification WINNF-16-S-0245-V1.0.0 PAL Database Specification WINNF-17-S-0022-V1.0.0 CBRS PKI Certificate Policy WINNF-16-S-0247-V1.0.0 CPI Standard



Issue Management and Change Requests

Following the release of revision 1 specifications, change requests will be collected from the Forum's

public issues management portal

http://www.winel roviding.continue	ultrained of loases identified in Specification: developed under policy WINMF Policy DOI submounter.org/policies_and_procedures). It supports the Forums members and other stakeholders i a improvement in the Specifications issued.
Nease feel free Nease submit a	o consolidate issues if appropriate, but do not combine issues that are unrelated. Instead, separate form for each.
ipon completing uture correspon	this form, you will be issued a unique Issue Number. Please reference that number in all dence.
ubmitted issue lisciosed.	may be made public, however contact information on the submitted issue will not be
intact Inform	ation
Email	Organization Name
sue/Suggest	ion Details
lease select	he specification from the following categories (select 1)
SDR Specification Othe Specification	Click drug dawn to relect from this category.
age	Section and Paragraph
Issue On Descri	Line
acus Severity	D Crisos Pitor Ditor
Proposed Re (Optional, 100	Derisan Pituper Deteor
Proposed Re (Optional, 100	Defining Petrop Petrop

WIRELESS

F D R U M



SI	lid	е	29
		-	

Release 2 Publication Timeline





Building the Ecosystem Based on These Standards



Multiple U.S. Trials and Applications Underway

- 1. May, 2016: Alphabet and Federated working on SAS systems to manage CBRS priority lanes.
- 2. April, 2016: Kansas City, MO approved Google to test 3.5 GHz capabilities using antennas on light poles and other structures in over 8 locations for a period of 18 months.
- **3. May, 2016: Ruckus i**n talks to join Google in the KC trials. Ruckus seeks to provide connectivity in-building solutions to improve cellular connections.
- May, 2016: Qualcomm seeking FCC approval for tests in California over a period of 12 months. The company seeks
 to test small cell base stations connected to mobile devices.





- 5. July, 2016: Federated Wireless announced that it filed their SAS application for CINQ XQ, their SAS platform.
- August, 2016: AT&T Labs filled permission with FCC to test a 3.5 GHz non-line of sight 5G antenna system in Atlanta, GA.
- 7. February 8, 2017: Nokia, Alphabet and Qualcomm demonstrate the first live demo of a private LTE network over CBRS at the Las Vegas Motor Speedway.
- 8. February 2017 New experimental applications filed in the 3.5 and 3.6 GHz band by Speedwavz, Digis, and Extenet.

Multi-stakeholder Groups: WinnForum & CBRS Alliance



COLS

Detailing common industry and government functionality and architecture for Spectrum Access Systems (SAS), sensors, and devices

Interoperability requirements and protocol definition to allow for open competitive and well-functioning systems

Common framework for testing and integration of components of spectrum sharing technologies to allow for rapid certification and deployment and predictability, thus expanding the ecosystem and increasing utility of the spectrum

Details of requirements, processes, and methods for protection of incumbent users as required by the spectrum rules

Operational procedures definition for the well -functioning of the system as it pertains to spectrum assignment, managements, and interoperability Evangelize LTE-based CBRS technology, use cases and business opportunities

Drive technology developments necessary to fulfill the mission, including multi-operator LTE capabilities

Establish an effective product certification program for LTE equipment in the US 3.5 GHz band ensuring multi-vendor interoperability



WIRELESS Innovation Forum